1	Matthew C. Smith (State Bar No. 276024)	
1	Santana and Smith Law Firm, P.C.	
2	The Historic Winship Building	
,	500 Second Street	
3	Yuba City, CA 95991	
4	TEL: (530) 822-9500 FAX: (530) 751-7910	
5		
6	Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia	
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9		
.0	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
.1	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No.: 2:21-CR-0079-TLN
.2	Plaintiff,	
.3	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER
.4	AUSTREBERTO SANTAMARIA-VALENCIA ,	
.5	D. f. v. 1. v.4	
	Defendant.	D-4 C4122 2022
.6		Date: September 22, 2022 Time: 9:30 a.m.
7		Hon. Troy L. Nunley
		11011. 110y L. Numey
.8	STIPULATION	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on September 22, 2022.	
21	2. By this stipulation, defendant now moves to continue the status conference until	
22	November 17, 2022, at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time between September 22, 2022, and November 17	
	17, 2022, at 7.30 a.m., and to exclude time between september 22, 2022, and November 17	
23	2022, under Local Code T4.	
24	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
25	a.) The government has produced the discovery associated with this case including,	
26	among other things, investigative reports, photographs, and video recordings	
27	among other things, investigative reports, photographs, and video recordings.	
28	b.) Counsel for defendant desires	s additional time to consult with his client, to review

## Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 30 Filed 09/21/22 Page 2 of 3

the current charges, to conduct an investigation and research related to the charges, to review discovery for this matter, to discuss potential resolutions with his client, and to prepare pretrial motions.

- c.) Defense counsel believes that failure to grant the above-requested continuance would deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
  - d.) The government does not object to the continuance.
- e.) Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f.) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of September 22, 2022 to November 17, 2022, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Rule T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's findings that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Date: September 19, 2022 /s/ Matthew C. Smith MATTHEW C. SMITH Attorney for Defendant Austreberto Santamaria-Valencia

Date: September 19, 2022 /s/ James R. Conolly James R. Conolly Assistant United States Attorney

7

8

6

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27 28

## Case 2:21-cr-00079-TLN Document 30 Filed 09/21/22 Page 3 of 3

## FINDINGS AND ORDER

IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 20th day of September, 2022.

Troy L. Nunley

United States District Judge